



Mriya Agro Holding Public Company Ltd (in liquidation)
Report to Creditors
for the period
17th April 2019 to 16th April 2020

Corporate Recovery & Insolvency Specialists Ltd
20 Nikis Ave., Office 400
1086 Nicosia, Cyprus

Tel: (+357) 22 45 55 45
Fax: (+357) 22 51 52 12
Email: info@crigroup.com.cy
www.crigroup.com.cy

1. Introduction

Following the completion of the financial and corporate restructuring of Mriya Agro Holding Public Company Ltd ("MAH") and its subsidiaries, in August 2018, we were able to focus our efforts, firstly in securing local, European and international arrest warrants against those considered responsible for the losses suffered by creditors and secondly, in obtaining funding in order to be able to continue our recovery strategy in connection with fraudulent transactions we have identified and the pending legal proceedings (the "proceedings").

In our last report, for the period **26th July 2017** to **16th April 2019** (the "Report"), we invited creditors to contact us if they were interested in funding the proceedings, additionally we liaised directly with a number of possible funders which we identified and/or were introduced to us by our international contacts and professional associates.

Following the issuing of the Report, we engaged in countless discussions with potential litigation funders and their representatives, inter alia, responding to their queries. Unfortunately, with the exception of one possible funder (the "conditional offer"), which I shall refer to further below, the general sentiment was that the risk was deemed to be too great given the slow and often unpredictable Cypriot judicial system (it was estimated that it could take 5 to 6 years or even more, in this case, for the claim against Bank of Cyprus Public Company Limited ("BoC") to reach trial), coupled with the absence of any legal precedents to give sufficient comfort as to the likelihood of success.

These concerns were reinforced by a recent adverse court decision, which compelled us to withdraw our claim against BoC (with the right to file a fresh application having been reserved). The reason for this was not, due to our inability to fund this matter but other developments in these proceedings, which we outline in detail below.

Despite lack of funding, we preserved and or instigated, where able, other legal actions. Where necessary, we have discharged legal fees by utilising funds set aside to cover our own fees or reached agreement with our lawyers to continue representing us on a conditional fee basis (no success, no fee).

With regard to the recent conditional offer for partial funding, this was subject to our resignation and the appointment, by the proposed funder, of a Liquidator of their own choosing. We firmly believe that without our involvement, any recovery action would be futile given our thorough knowledge of all matters pertaining to this liquidation gained over the last 5 years. In the circumstances we considered it appropriate, and in the best interests of creditors, to decline the conditional offer.

Turning now to more positive news, we are pleased to report that encouraging progress has been made in respect of the action against, inter alia, members and/or associates of the Guta family, particularly bearing in mind the freezing injunctions issued by the Cypriot court and the enforcement of the same against the villa in Munich, Germany (the "German villa").

In relation to this matter we have entered into a contingency fee agreement with our lawyers, L. Papaphilipou & Co LLC, pursuant to which, provided we have a successful outcome, they will receive their remuneration based on a percentage of the amount to be recovered.

2. Criminal Investigation and Arrest

With regard to our criminal complaint to the Chief of the Police in Cyprus in connection with the unlawful activities of the previous management and beneficial owners of MAH, we are pleased to report that, following our perseverance and persistent efforts, an arrest has finally been made by the police. On **31st January 2020**, in accordance with a press release issued by the police, a copy of which is attached at **Appendix 1**, a 42-year-old Ukrainian national had been remanded in custody in connection with financial fraud totaling \$92m (circa €83m) allegedly committed through Cypriot-registered companies. Upon further enquiries, we were able to confirm that the individual arrested was Andriy Buriak ("AB"), the Chief Financial Officer of MAH prior to its liquidation. AB was arrested in Budapest pursuant to a European arrest warrant, issued by the Cypriot authorities, and subsequently extradited to Cyprus.

On **6 February 2020** a preliminary inquiry was held before the District Court of Nicosia which decided that, due to the seriousness of the offences, AB would remain in custody pending the outcome of the proceedings, he was refused bail and the matter was referred to the Assize Court¹.

During AB's plea hearing on **13th March 2020**, he denied all charges and the matter was set for trial on **22 June 2020**. The court granted him bail on the following conditions:

- i. The payment of €500,000 security into court;
- ii. That AB would appear before the Yermasoyia police station in Limassol twice per day at specified times;
- iii. Confiscation of AB's passport by the authorities;
- iv. Registration of AB's details on the list of persons not entitled to leave the Republic of Cyprus.

We understand that AB is still in prison, thus we assume he has not been able to put up the required funds to meet his bail conditions.

With regard to members of the Guta family and their involvement in our complaint, we believe that it is a matter of time before they will be arrested; a number of them are residing in Switzerland, we understand that the Swiss authorities are known to be slow when executing international arrest warrants, nevertheless we remain hopeful that we will have a successful outcome.

3. Case number 1238/2018 - Civil action against the Guta family, their associates and others

Creditors will recall that we proceeded with the filing of a civil claim for just over \$100m (increased to \$127m through the Statement of Claim) in connection with the purported purchase of shares by MAH from eight BVI companies (the "Purported Share Purchases"). Additionally, interim applications were also filed against a total of thirty-nine defendants which included, inter alia, members of the Guta family and their associates, companies beneficially owned by them and also corporate service providers.

¹ The Assize Court has unlimited jurisdiction to hear and determine, at first instance, any criminal case. In practice only criminal cases where the sentence provided by law for the offence in question exceeds 5 years imprisonment are brought before the Assize Court.

On **17th May 2018**, we obtained a number of court orders including an interim freezing injunction in respect of the German villa (the “German Villa Injunction”), referred to above, and subsequently a worldwide freezing injunction (“WFI”) was granted in respect of a number of the defendants’ assets. Please refer to the previous Report for more details in respect of these injunctions and, with regard to the names of all the defendants in these proceedings (reference to which is made in this report by number only), please refer to the English translation of the German Villa Injunction at **Appendix 2** where they are listed in appendix A to the order).

There are a number of interim applications pending in this matter including the following:

- i. The hearing with regard to the German Villa Injunction and disclosure order which shall decide whether the same shall become final for defendants 3, 6 and 10 who filed oppositions²;
- ii. Applications for the submission of three supplementary affidavits by us in response to allegations made by defendants 3, 6 and 10 in their respective oppositions.
- iii. An application to stay the part of the German Villa Injunction which relates to the disclosure of documents.
- iv. An application filed on **28th February 2020** and served on AB, on **9th March 2020**, whilst in prison, for a freezing injunction in respect of his assets both in and outside Cyprus and a disclosure order. Note, on the same date, AB was also served with the writ of summons in connection with the main, underlying claim filed by us on **14th May 2018** before the District Court of Nicosia in action no. 1238/2018.

These and other matters have been scheduled at court several times over the past few months for directions, unfortunately the court has repeatedly adjourned the hearings, the last scheduled date was **23rd March 2020**, however on **22nd March 2020** the Supreme Court announced that all cases shall be postponed until **30th April 2020**, due to measures taken in response to the coronavirus outbreak (although the courts continue to operate they only hear cases that are considered extremely urgent). Therefore, the pending applications will not be heard until after the coronavirus protection measures have been lifted.

There was a positive development in these proceedings on **13th November 2019**, when the first instance court rejected applications filed by defendants 1, 2, 4 and 7 for the setting aside and/or cancellation of the service of the writ of summons, documents and notices, applications and affidavits in connection with the German Villa Injunction, the WFI and disclosure order, which the said defendants alleged, were not properly and/or legally served.

The defendants in question have since appealed the court decision. It is uncommon in Cyprus for appeals to be heard earlier than 5 years from the appeal date. Surprisingly, we were informed by our lawyers that in an effort to expedite the appeal, on **5 March 2020**, the defendants’ lawyers wrote to the President of the Supreme Court seeking for

² For defendants 1, 2, 4, 7, 9, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 39 the worldwide freezing Injunction and disclosure order are in force pending the outcome of the civil case.

the appeal to be heard expeditiously and claimed that due to the German property injunction and the WFI, his clients' assets are frozen and this is causing them "huge loss" and they are being driven to "financial catastrophe".

The reasons advanced are, in our opinion baseless, nevertheless, we understand that they managed to persuade the Supreme Court to hear the matter within 5 weeks, as the case was set on **8 April 2020**, for a preliminary hearing as to whether the appeal should be heard expeditiously. The hearing was adjourned to the following week after reference was made, at the initial hearing, to a letter from our lawyers, dated **30 March 2020** addressed to the President of the Supreme Court, which the defendants' lawyers were not aware of, thus they were given time to review the contents of the same. The letter sought to explain inter alia, that defendants 1, 2, 4 and 7 should not be allowed to request the particular remedies (specifically, for the appeal hearing to be brought forward) as they have failed to comply with the provisions of the WFI and disclosure order.

In any event, we are very pleased to report that, on **14th April 2020**, the Supreme Court, having heard both our position and the position of the appellants, decided that there are no grounds to justify the appeal being heard expeditiously and to bypass the courts scheduled programme.

Creditors are further advised that, following a disclosure order secured against several of the defendants (1, 2, 4, 7, 9, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 39) in respect of which they failed to comply within the deadline set by the court (7 days from the date of service of the WFI), we will shortly be filing a contempt application.

4. Recognition and Enforcement of Cypriot Court Injunction in Germany

The German villa remains subject to the German Villa Injunction, pending the outcome of the civil action against, inter alia, the Gutas. As referred to in the Report, a Sale Agreement ("SA") was registered with the German Land Registry in 2018. Resulting from our action vis-à-vis the German Villa Injunction, recognised and enforced in Germany, the German villa cannot be transferred, albeit, we believe the purported buyer is living in it.

In this regard, we wrote to his representative in September of last year requesting a copy of the SA and a copy of any rental agreement entered into, simultaneously reserving our right to seek appropriate legal action in respect of rent being paid to members of the Guta family.

Our belief that the German villa is currently occupied by the purported purchaser is reinforced by the contents of a report we commissioned via tracing agents last year, which revealed that the German villa mailbox refers to the name of both the purported buyer and the individual disclosed as his representative. Unfortunately, we did not receive a response to our letter from the buyer and/or his representative, we did however receive a letter from Cypriot lawyers, acting on behalf of Zapadoustra Ltd, the Cypriot company registered as the owner of the German villa, inter alia, claiming that we sought to contact their client and that, moreover, we acted unethically, allegations which we dismiss.

5. Civil action against BoC – Case no 3791/2017

There were several developments in the action against BoC, which, unfortunately have resulted in us being compelled to discontinue the same. We are currently considering

whether to refile this claim within the coming weeks (subject to the lifting of the coronavirus outbreak measures in respect of the Cypriot Courts).

In October of last year, BoC applied to court for the orders set out below, in the context of legal action 2626/2015, in which we obtained a disclosure order against BoC in respect of documents pertaining to the Purported Share Purchases and the transfers of funds which were made through MAH's BoC accounts. The orders sought by BoC were as follows:

- i. An order setting aside the interim disclosure order (which subsequently became final by consent) obtained against BoC, dated **10th June 2015**, due to a failure to progress the matter and/or abuse of process;
- ii. An order for the destruction/return of the documents/records disclosed;
- iii. An order setting aside these proceedings for want of prosecution and/or abuse of process.

This application was opposed however, on **10th January 2020**, the court ordered in favour of BoC following which, as we had anticipated, BoC filed an application for strike out, in the context of the legal action against it (case no 3791/2017) for \$48.5m ("the Claim against BoC"), based on the fact that some of the documents which were obtained through the disclosure process, which we had been ordered to destroy/return, were relied upon to file the Claim against BoC.

We could have applied to the court in the context of the Claim against BoC, for leave to use the documents which we had obtained voluntarily from BoC prior to the filing of the disclosure application against it and continue the action on this basis. However, there was a risk that the Court would have decided to hear the application for strike out prior to hearing our application for leave to rely on the documents, in which case, had we lost the application for strike out we would have been precluded from continuing/refiling this action against BoC. Therefore, on the advice of our lawyers, we decided to discontinue the action reserving our rights to file the claim again (without relying on the documents obtained through the disclosure order).

This did, of course, mean that the costs of the Claim against BoC and the application for strike out were awarded in favour of BoC and will be deducted from the security for costs of €70,000 which we were ordered, in May 2019, to deposit with the court (funds which CRI paid to ensure the matter would continue).

Before deciding whether to refile the action against BoC we are taking steps to try to obtain a copy of the documentation compiled by the Economic Crime Unit of the police during their investigation into our complaint against the actions of the Guta family as we believe this will significantly strengthen our claim against BoC.

In October of last year we applied to court for the public examination of the police officer leading the investigation, initially the lawyers representing the Attorney General's office seemed to respond positively to this, however on **7th February 2020**, during a directions hearing, it was confirmed that they had decided to oppose the application. They claimed this could impact on the criminal investigation and they also indicated that they may be applying to set aside our application. In the knowledge of how protracted and drawn out these proceedings would become, we decided to withdraw the application; we needed to obtain the documents within a number of weeks otherwise we ran the risk of certain causes of action against BoC becoming time barred.

In any event, the police investigation has now been completed, we know this for a fact as a criminal case has already been filed against AB, thus the arguments posed on behalf of the Attorney General, that if information or documents were disclosed to us it could impact on their investigation did not make sense, and furthermore we understand that, as the victim of the crime, we are legally entitled to receive the same. In this regard, we have written to the Attorney General's office on at least three occasions to date, demanding access to the documents and we await their response.

It is also worthwhile noting that an appeal was filed against the order for the destruction/return of documents to BoC, however, it is anticipated that this will not come before the court for a first appearance for at least 1 to 2 years.

6. Possible breach of the Prevention and Suppression of Money Laundering and unlawful activities Laws of 2007, 2010, 2012 and 2013 (the "ML Law")

With regard to the complaint made to the Central Bank of Cyprus ("CBC"), initially on **7th March 2016**, where we alleged that BoC had, through its involvement and transfer of funds in connection with the Purported Share Purchases, breached its obligations set out in the ML Law, we finally received the written response of the CBC on **16th December 2019**, with which it was stated that the CBC cannot examine complaints from customers of banks especially where they concern possible fraud by third parties, and that this does not form part of its supervisory role. Obviously, we are disappointed with the stance being taken by CBC, it's unclear whether this response is based on actual policies and or statute(s) regulating what they are able or not able to do, or whether this position is motivated by other factors.

7. Dividend from subsidiary

In August and October of last year dividends totalling €259,851.59 were received in the liquidation account of MAH from the Liquidator of a Swiss indirect subsidiary, Selco Commodities AG, which was placed in solvent liquidation in accordance with Swiss law.

These funds were primarily used to reimburse CRI for the funds paid into court in May 2018, in order to ensure compliance with the conditions set by the court for the issuing of the German Villa Injunction, specifically a bank guarantee of €400,000 was requested. We did not have sufficient funds in the liquidation account at the time thus CRI put up the sum of €300,000.

8. Communication with the Joint Liquidators

If you need further clarifications, regarding any matter as to the liquidation process, please contact us, via email at chris@crigroup.com.cy or andri@crigroup.com.cy

We remain at your disposal,



Chris Iacovides
Joint Liquidators



Andri Antoniou
Joint Liquidator



Mriya Agro Holding Public Company Ltd (in liquidation)
Report to Creditors
for the period
17th April 2019 to 16th April 2020

APPENDIX 1

[Home](#) [Cyprus ▾](#) [Opinion ▾](#) [World ▾](#) [Business ▾](#) [Sport ▾](#) [Life & Style ▾](#)[Health ▾](#) [Videos ▾](#) [Careers Express](#)

Crime

Man extradited to Cyprus over \$92 million fraud

By Annette Chrysostomou • January 30, 2020 • 0

5343

SHARE

2

f

t

in

t



A 42-year-old suspect arrested in connection with a huge money scam on January 17 in Budapest was extradited to Cyprus on Wednesday.

A case of conspiracy to commit fraud, money laundering and the theft of 92 million US dollars is being investigated. ^

The above offences are believed to have been committed against a Cypriot company between 2011 and 2012 in Cyprus, Ukraine, Switzerland and the British Virgin Islands.

According to police, the persons involved allegedly cheated the company by inflating charges for shares.

This happened in seven instances and they reportedly made a total of 92 million dollars from the companies in Cyprus and Switzerland.

On October 17 police issued five European arrest warrants in connection with the case.

The 42-year-old was one of the wanted persons.

EXTRADITION

FRAUD

PICKS4

SHARE

 2



Mriya Agro Holding Public Company Ltd (in liquidation)
Report to Creditors
for the period
17th April 2019 to 16th April 2020

APPENDIX 2

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF NICOSIA

Action No. 1238/18

Coram: A. David, P.D.C.

Between:

Christakis (Chris) Iacovides and Andri Antoniou through their capacity as Joint Liquidators of the company MRIYA AGRO HOLDING PUBLIC LIMITED (HE 211870)

Claimants

And

1. Ivan Guta, of Switzerland

And others as Appendix A which is attached to the Writ of Summons

Defendants

Ex-Parte Application by Christakis (Chris) Iacovides and Andri Antoniou through their capacity as Joint Liquidators of the company MRIYA AGRO HOLDING PUBLIC LIMITED (HE 211870), of Nicosia, Claimants – Applicants Dated 14/5/2018

Upon the filing of an application by Messrs L. Papaphilippou & Co LLC, lawyers of claimants – applicants. **THIS COURT** after reading the affidavit which has been filed by or on behalf of the claimants and after the deposit of a Bank Guarantee for the amount of €400,000,00 in order to secure any potential damages and costs which the above defendants may suffer because of the issuance of the present order,

BY THE PRESENT ORDERS AND PROHIBITS and/or prevents Defendants 6 and 10 and/or any of them and/or their agents and/or their representatives and/or their servants and/or any legal persons they control directly and/or indirectly to alienate and/or sell and/or transfer and/or pledge and/or assign and/or charge and/or dispose and/or mortgage and/or proceed with any actions and/or omissions which might decrease the market value regarding the immovable property which is located in Munich of Germany, as described at Apppendix 1 which is attached to the present and/or the immovable property which is located at Klarweinstrasse 31 street and/or Klarweinstr 31 street in Munich of Germany, with registration number 1626 (Number of Plot 1), 1630 (Number of Plot 2), 1630 (Number of Plot 3), 1626 (Number of Plot 4), 1626/1 1850/91 and with number of plot 1,2,3,4 at the Land Register of Garmisch, Folio 15750.

Defendants 6 and 10 may appear before this Court on 29/5/2018 at 9:00 a.m. and demonstrate a valid reason why the present Order should not continue to be valid.

The remaining prayers of the Application dated 14/5/2018 are fixed for service on 29/5/18 at 9:00 a.m.

Issued on 17/5/18

Drawn up on 24/5/18

(Sign.) A. David P.D.C.

ENDORSEMENT: If you, the aforesaid Defendants 6 and 10 and/or any of you and/or agents and/or representatives and/or servants and/or any legal persons you control directly and/or indirectly neglect to comply IMMEDIATELY from service of the present order you, the legally responsible may be arrested or your property may be seized.

True copy

(Sign.)

(Stamped)

Registrar

SH

APPENDIX A

2. Klavdiya Guta, from 11 Oberbergstrasse, 6390 Engelberg, Switzerland
3. Mykola Guta, from Ziegelhutzenstrasse 7A, 6060 Sarnen, Switzerland
4. Andriy Guta, from Stockistrasse 16, 6390 Engelberg, Switzerland
5. Volodymyr Shkira, from 8A Berezhanska St, Pidhorodnia village, Ternopil district, Ternopil region, Ukraine
6. Victoria Guta, from Ziegelhutzenstrasse 7A, 6060 Sarnen, Switzerland
7. Olena Guta, from Stockistrasse 16, 6390 Engelberg, Switzerland
8. Andriy Buryak, from Chornovola Street, 27-A, Apt /Office 2, Sambir, Lviv region, Ukraine
9. Oihro Ventures Limited, from Afrodite Street 25, Apt./Office 204, 1060, Nicosia, Cyprus
10. Zapatoustra Holdings Limited, from Vasili Michaelidi 9, 3026, Limassol Cyprus
11. Skliarov Volodymyr, from Ap.204, 9 Tychyny St, Kyiv, Ukraine
12. Sergiy Mozil, from Dostoyevskoho, 24, Ternopil 46000, Ukraine
13. Petron Limited, from Thiseos, 4, 2413, Egkomi, Nicosia, Cyprus
14. Kukadial Limited, from Aphrodite 25, 2nd Floor, Apt/Office 204, 1060, Nicosia, Cyprus
15. Zymendera Limited, from Nikis 20, 4th Floor, Apt/Office 400, 1086, Nicosia, Cyprus
16. Saxanon Holdings Limited, from Ioanni Metaxa, 9, Agios Dometios, 2368, Nicosia, Cyprus
17. Moukisar Holdings Limited, from Georgiou Kariou, 6B, Apt/Office 6B, Dasoupoli, Strovolos, 2014 Nicosia, Cyprus

18. Fromzonex Investments Limited, from Egypt street, 12 , 1097 Nicosia, Cyprus
19. Umlian Consultants Limited, from Georgiou Kariou, 6B , Apt/Office 6B, Dasoupoli, Strovolos 2014, Nicosia, Cyprus
20. Oramwhite Investments Limited, from Georgiou Kariou, 6B , Apt/Office 6B, Dasoupoli, Strovolos, 2014, Nicosia, Cyprus
21. Consulco Limited, from Metochiou, 73 , 2407, Egkomi, Nicosia, Cyprus
22. Toulla Petsa, from Faethondos 20 A , , Kaimakli, 1041, Nicosia, Cyprus
23. Eleni Theodorou Argyrou, from Isokratous 72, Archaggelos 2335, Nicosia, Cyprus
24. Vimatex Limited, from 25 Aphrodite, 2nd Floor, Apt/Office 204, 1060, Nicosia, Cyprus
25. MGF Management Inc, from Trust Company Complex, Ajeltake Island, Majuri, MH96960, Marshall Islands
26. Niki Stylianou, from 23 Agiou Lavrentiou, Archaggelos , 2055 Strovolos, Nicosia, Cyprus
27. Acradale Limited, from Akara Building, 24 De Castro Street Wickhams Cay 1, Road Town, Tortola, British Virgin Islands
28. Kalosa Limited, from 24 De Castro Street Wickhams Cay 1, Akara Building Road Town, Tortola, British Virgin Islands
29. Logarde Limited, from 24 De Castro Street Wickhams Cay 1, Akara Building Road Town, Tortola, British Virgin Islands
30. Ironborn Limited, from Road Town, Quijano Chambers Tortola, P.O Box 3159, Tortola, British Virgin Islands
31. Lucabrasi Holdings Limited, from Road Town, Quijano Chambers Tortola, P.O Box 3159, Tortola, British Virgin Islands

32. Sharkoast Holdings Limited, from Road Town, Quijano Chambers, Tortola,
P.O Box 3159, Tortola, British Virgin Islands
33. Valentino Investments Limited, from Road Town, Quijano Chambers Tortola,
P.O Box 3159, Tortola, British Virgin Islands
34. Wolfrun Investments Ltd, from Road Town, Quijano Chambers Tortola, P.O
Box 3159, Tortola, British Virgin Islands
35. Kinanis LLC, from Egypt Ave 12, Nicosia 1097, Cyprus
36. Stanislav Kulichkovskyi, from 4 Hoholia St, Rozhniativ, Rozhniativ district,
Ivano-Frankivsk region, Ukraine
37. Taras Velychko from Ap.16, 19 Pushkina St, Mlyniv, Mlyniv district, Rivne
region, Ukraine
38. Volodymyr Purog, from Ap.1, 17A Stepana Bandery St, Berezhany,
Berezhany district, Ternopil region , Ukraine
39. Globalservice Consultants Limited, from Vasili Michaelidi 9, 3026, Limassol
Cyprus

APPENDIX 1

Local Court

Garmisch-Partenkirchen

Land Register

of

Garmisch

Folio 15750

Local Court
Land Register of

Garmisch-Partenkirchen
Garmisch

Folio 15750

First Section

Loose leaf

1

Serial number of registrations	Owner	Serial number of plots in the register of landed property	Basis for registration
1	2	3	4
<u>1</u>	<u>Florian Forchhammer, d.o.b. 03.01.1965</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>Transfer of ownership, registered on 15.5.2007.</u> Eberhorn
2	Oihro Ventures Limited, Nicosia / Cyprus	1.2	Conveyance of 08.07.2010; registered on 19.10.2010. Eberhorn
		4	Access conveyed on 30.[illegible].2012; registered on 28.09.2012. Heitzinger B.
3	Zapatoustra Holdings Limited, Dali, Nicosia, Cyprus	3.4	Conveyance of 30.03.2016; registered on 27.06.2016. Heitzinger B.

Local Court
Land Register of

Garmisch-Partenkirchen
Garmisch

Folio 15750 Register of landed property

Loose leaf

1

Serial number of plots	Former serial number of plots	Description of the plots and ownership rights		Size		
		Boundary Cadastral unit	Type of business activity and location	ha	a	m ²
		a/b	c			
1	2	3		4		
1	-	1626	Near Klarweinstr., building and open space		6	73
2	-	1630	Klarweinstr. 31, building and open space, farmland		30	06
3	2	1630	Klarweinstrasse 31, building and open space		30	06
4	1	1626	Near Klarweinstrasse, building and open space		6	71
	1	1626/1	Near Klarweinstrasse, building and open space			2
	-	1850/91	Near Klarweinstrasse, building and open space			2

Amtsgericht

Garmisch-Partenkirchen

Grundbuch

von

Garmisch

Blatt 15750

Amtsgericht
Grundbuch von

Garmisch-Partenkirchen
Garmisch

Blatt 15750

Erste Abteilung

Einlegebogen

1

Lfd. Nr. der Eintra- gungen	Eigentümer	Lfd. Nr. der Grundstücke im Bestands- verzeichnis	Grundlage der Eintragung
1	2	3	4
1	Florian Forchhammer, geb. am 03.01.1965	1,2	Im Eigentum übertragen; einge- tragen am 15.05.2007. Eberhorn
2	Oihso Ventures Limited, Nicosia/Zypern	1,2 4	Auflassung vom 08.07.2010; eingetragen am 19.10.2010. Eberhorn Zugang aufgelassen am 30.03.2012; eingetragen am 28.09.2012. Heitzinger B.
3	Zapatoustra Holdings Limited, Dali, Nicosia, Cyprus	3,4	Auflassung vom 30.03.2016; eingetragen am 27.06.2016. Heitzinger B.

Lfd. Nr. der Grund- stücke	Bisherige lfd. Nr. d. Grund- stücke	Bezeichnung der Grundstücke und der mit dem Eigentum verbundenen Rechte		Größe		
		Gemarkung Flurstück	Wirtschaftsort und Lage	ha	a	m²
		a/b	c			
1	2	3		4		
1	-	1626	Nähe Klarweinstr., Gebäude- und <u>Freifläche</u>		6	73
2	-	1630	Klarweinstr. 31, Gebäude- und <u>Freifläche, Landwirtschaftsfläche</u>		30	06
3	2	1630	Klarweinstraße 31, Gebäude- und Freifläche		30	06
4	1	1626	Nähe Klarweinstraße, Gebäude- und Freifläche		6	71
	1	1626/1	Nähe Klarweinstraße, Gebäude- und <u>Freifläche</u>			2
	-	1850/91	Nähe Klarweinstraße, Gebäude- und Freifläche			2